

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

**YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.**

Direct Infringement

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly infringes claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

Yes  
(Infringing)

No  
(Not Proven)

**CLAIM 11:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CLAIM 27:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CLAIM 29:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CLAIM 39:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CLAIM 40:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CLAIM 41:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly infringes claims 1 and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
<b>CLAIM 1:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 20:</b>	_____	_____

Indirect Infringement

3. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or contributed to any infringement of claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
<b>CLAIM 11:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 27:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 29:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 39:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 40:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 41:</b>	_____	_____

4. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or contributed to any infringement of claims 1 and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
<b>CLAIM 1:</b>	_____	_____
<b>CLAIM 20:</b>	_____	_____

Dated: May \_\_\_\_, 2012.

\_\_\_\_\_  
FOREPERSON